1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11   SOUTH TAHOE PUBLIC UTILITY   No. 2:02-cv-0238-MCE-JFM
     DISTRICT, a public utility
12   district,

13   Plaintiff,

14   v.   **ORDER**

15   1442.92 ACRES OF LAND IN
     ALPINE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA;
16   F. HEISE LAND & LIVE STOCK
     COMPANY, INC., a Nevada
17   corporation; WILLIAM WEAVER;
     EDDIE R. SNYDER; CROCKETT
18   ENTERPRISES, INC., a Nevada
     corporation,
19
              Defendants.
20   _____/

21   The Court has reviewed the stipulation and proposed order

22   dated February 13, 2006 regarding pretrial procedures. The Court

23   will adopt the stipulation and the dates set forth therein with

24   the following exceptions:

25   1. No replies to any oppositions will be permitted with

26   respect to motions in limine or voir dire questions.

27   ///

28   ///

1   2.   There will be no hearing on disputed voir dire

2 questions.   In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure

3 47(a), the Court will conduct the examination of prospective

4 jurors.   The Court will permit each side a maximum of fifteen

5 (15) minutes per side for follow up questions to the prospective

6 jurors after the Court's examination.

7   3.   There will be no hearing on disputed jury instructions.

8 The Court finds that such a hearing is premature prior to the

9 presentation of evidence.

10   In addition, the Court is in receipt of a written

11 communication for David Robertson, Esquire, with additional

12 questions regarding trial procedures.   The responses to those

13 questions are as follows:

14   A.   The presentation of evidence and all argument will

15 conclude not later than April 28, 2006.   No extensions will be

16 granted.

17   B.   The twelve (12) court day estimate for the trial is set.

18 There should be no expectation on the part of counsel to proceed

19 on the non-trial days of Monday and Tuesday.   The Court has

20 matters scheduled for those days.

21   C.   The issue of time for each side to present evidence will

22 be determined by the Court at the March 20, 2006 hearing.

23   IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATE: February 23, 2006

25

26   _____

27   MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR
   UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28